

Proceedings of Public Consultation for the proposed Babandh Thermal Power Project (2640 MW) at Kurunti, Dhenkanal (Orissa) of M/s Lanco Power Limited held at Pachayat Office of Village Khargaprasad, Dhenkanal on 07.11.2008 (11.00 A.M.)

Public consultation for the proposed coal based thermal power plant of capacity 2640 MW (4x640MW) at Kurunti, Dhenkanal (Orissa) by M/s LANCO Power Limited Ltd. was held again on the scheduled date, time and venue at Panchayat Office, Khargaprasad on 07.11.2008 at 11.00A.M. The public consultation for the above project could not be held earlier at Odapada, Dhenkanal on 26/09/2008 due to the law and order problem as the villagers boycotted the meeting on several environmental as well revenue issues.

In the beginning, the Regional Officer, State Pollution Control Board, Angul introduced the public on the proposed project in brief and on the aspects of public consultation. The public consultation was presided by the Collector & D.M., Dhenkanal.

The Collector & DM, Dhenkanal welcomed the people present and further emphasized to deliver their views on the proposed project towards consideration of environmental clearance. Mr. N. L. Das, GM of the Company described briefly in odia on the proposed project, its environmental aspects and on preventive & mitigative measures on water consumption & treatment air emission control, fly ash management etc. He also cited on the proposed peripheral development activities, educational facilities and employment opportunities of local people. The Collector, Dhenkanal then invited the public to opine their views, which are detailed below.

1. Sri Dayananda Dalei, Khargaprasad

He clearly stated that number of industries are existing (Bhusan Steel Limited, BRG Sponge and allied steel making, Nav Bharat Ventures Ltd & Power Plant, Hind Metal Ferro Alloys, Rungta Ferro Alloys & Power) and clearances are already given to number of power plants (GMR power, Nav Bharat Mahalaxmi

Power) within 0.5 to 4 kms in and around our area (Village Kurunti & Village Khargaprasad). Due to this existing industrializations we have been exposed to severe pollution of air, water etc. As such they are deprived of fresh air for breathing and simultaneously loosing normal livelihood. In this regard we have been raising our voices many times. But, permanent remedial measures have not been taken by these industries. He criticized government machineries to fail to control pollution and the industries are not complying with the guidelines on environment.

Besides pollution in this area is unavoidable as many industries are in existence in close proximity. So, one survey should be carried out on the present pollution load of the area and according to the carrying capacity of the area decision may be taken to allow further industries.

It is a matter of regret that inspite of any assessment of the present pollution load of the present area and strong resentment already made by the villagers the public consultation is made in favour of Lanco power plant to establish a 2640 MW thermal power plant here in our area though the company has granted a permission from the state Government for 1320MW thermal power plant. So, it is required to be clarified why the public consultation was made for 2640 MW instead of 1320 MW thermal power plant. That was the only reason why we had boycotted the last public consultation held on 26/09/2008. Thereafter, we had organized two meeting with the Lanco authorities in presence of villagers on 28/10/2008 and 4/11/2008. Our interests were stated to them in both the meetings. We strongly opposed for 2640MW project and wanted a clarification from them that they should stick to 1320 MW power plant. But, till now we have not received any clarification in this regard. Therefore, he clearly stated that he was representing the villagers and they are against of 2640MW power plant. If the company will give commitment for 1320 MW power plant then they will come forward for discussion.

He has submitted a memorandum in favour of his statement signed by many villagers.

2. Sri Harihar Samal, Kurunti

The name of the company as suggested for the public consultation (Babandh) has not been true as they are planning for acquiring lands in different areas (Kurunti and Khargaprasad). This has to be clarified. According to him all the polluting industries (Bhusan, BRG, Navbharat, Rungta) are located around our villages. We are quite disturbed due to the pollution in the area affecting local people in their day to day lives. It is requested to control the pollution in the present situation which has not been carried out satisfactorily. Without considering this, permissions are being given for new polluting industries like thermal power plants in our area which will put our lives more miserable. Besides, no satisfactory plantations has been carried out by industries existing; instead of that self-grown green covers, forest areas are depleting day by day due to this industrializations.

Most important matter is that the company was permitted for 1320 MW thermal power plant. But, in lieu of that the public consultation is organized for 2640 MW. When people are not accepting 1320 MW thermal power plant in the area; how they are imposing to establish a 2640MW thermal power plant. It is not acceptable.

There is severe water pollution in the river Brahmani, in natural streams like kisinda, lingra etc. on which the local people are totally dependant. Due to this pollution lot of problems have been developed like good drinking water & bathing by the people and drinking water for cattle. As there are no medical facilities available, people are suffering from many prolonged diseases. So far no adequate facility for medical treatment for the local people has been provided by the existing industries.

It is a matter of great concern how M/S Lanco will come up by the side of river Brahmani. There is no concern for conservation of animals. The authorities must look into the balance of ecosystem and to have sustainable development. At the end he has strongly opposed the proposal for 2640 MW on behalf of the villagers requested to contend within 1320 MW.

3. Sri Dhruba Charan Nayak, Kurunti

I am a retired government officer and was settled in Kurunti. According to him recently he shifted to that area only to protect his ancestral properties. I have planted number of trees in my orchard and trees are quite grown up. I am quite old now to form new properties any more. However, my earlier speakers have already spoken about pollution in the area and in fact it is not controlled. He told that the industries near their villages are polluting the natural environment/atmosphere. In these circumstances the proposed thermal plant by Lanco may be shifted to other location. If at all they are going to have the power project; then the R.D.road from Kamalanga to Meramandali shall kept as such alongwith the portion in the north upto the river and the habitation of 25 houses in the south. He repeated again for shifting the location and asked to reduce the capacity even less than 1320 MW thermal power plant.

4. Sri Binod Kumar Sahu, Khargaprasad

Sri Sahu though signed to deliver in the public consultation; he did not come to deliver.

While concluding the public consultation the Collector & District Magistrate, Dhenkanal told that due to industrializations or ancillaries industries lot of direct and indirect benefits are available to the local youth as well as to others. But, primary thing has to be seen to protect the environment and the persons to be affected have to be rehabilitated properly. There should be enough considerations to the land losers for better settlement and basic livelihood should not be disturbed. In this regard very good

systems/mechanisms are available with the district as well as with the state government.

Besides, the district administration will give importance to their feelings and genuine complaints which were put forth by three speakers assumed to be the combined suggestions of village Khargaprasad and other villages. Accordingly a decision will be taken. The villagers should not be panic as the district administration will not progress if work against the feeling of the public. The district administration is for their development and instrumental for all progress in all angles. She thanked the public who participated in the public consultation.

The meeting then came to an end with vote of thanks to the chair.

Approved

**Collector & District Magistrate,
Dhenkanal**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES RAISED BY THE PUBLIC AND COMMENTS OF THE PROJECT PROPONENT DURING THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION HELD ON 07.08.2008 AT 11.00 AM IN PANCHAYAT OFFICE OF KHARGAPRASAD VILLAGE, DHENKANAL FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT OF 2640 MW BABABANDH THERMAL POWER PROJECT OF M/S LANCO POWER PROJECT LTD, AT- KURUNTI

<i>ISSUES RAISED BY THE PUBLIC</i>	COMMENTS OF THE PROJECT PROPONENT
<p>The issues raised by the public and the petitions received during the public consultation were alike. The views as stated by all the speakers were the same views of their respective villages and they were representing on behalf them. The main issues are given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change of site: The name of the proposed power plant refers to Village Babandh (Near Hindol Road, Dhenkanal) as they initially planned for setting up there. But, actually they are proposing at village Kurunti and Khargaprasad. This has to be clarified. 2. Public Consultation for high capacity: The plant has signed for 1320 MW thermal based power plant. But, the public consultation was organized for 2640 MW which is totally objected by the villagers. Therefore, public consultation should be organized again for only 1320 MW thermal power plant. Strong resentment and 	<p>The Company representative delivered during his presentation on the proposed thermal power plant and on the issues raised by the public during their deliberations. In the beginning of his deliberation he welcomed views of the participants for necessary implementation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No comment was given on the change of site. He told that company will be establishing 4x660 MW supercritical thermal power plants in the district of Dhenkanal. 2. No comment was given on the capacity enhancement or about the memorandum of understanding (MoU) with the State Government. They are going to establish 2640MW thermal power plant.

agitation will continue; if the industry would be allowed with 2640 MW thermal power plant.

3. Non suitability of site:

- The site is not suitable for any more industrialization as one side of the site is the railway line and other side of the site is River Brahmani; which are hardly 50 meters away from the activities.
- The village Kurunti and village Khargaprasad (big villages) are hardly 50-100 meters from the site.
- The total area available for the purpose is quite less than the actual requirement. An assessment in this regard may be made on the availability of actual land.
- As such the people are living in a polluting environment due to the existence of polluting industries like M/S Bhusan Steel Limited (Integrated Steel & Power), M/S BRG Iron & Steel (P) Ltd (Sponge Iron & Steel), M/S Navabharat Ventures Ltd (Ferro Alloys & Power), M/S Hind Metals (P) Ltd (Ferro Alloys) within 0.5-4kms radius. Due to the pollution load the survival has become impossible. Besides clearances were already given for two mega thermal power plants (GMR Energy Limited & Mahalaxmi Mega Thermal Power Project) in the same area.

They strongly objected on the suitability of

3. The company feels that the site is suitable for the proposed thermal power plant. They will require A.1250 for the main plant, A.725 for the ash disposal and A.40.0 for the colony. They will draw water of 25 cusecs from River Brahmani.

site.

4. **Review of Present Pollution Load:** All the villages of the nearby area of the site have been exposed to pollution since long due to heavy industrialization in the area. Therefore, a detailed study/assessment on the present pollution load may be performed and thereafter suitability of the site for this mega power plant (1320MW) may be considered.

5. **Wrong representation of facts in the REIA report:**

- The REIA submitted by the company for the proposed power plant needs to be verified as the figures for ground level concentrations (GLCs) of air pollutants are quite low, the area shown for availability of land for 2640 MW thermal power plant are wrongly represented.
- As such in REIA it is not mentioned that prime agricultural land will be converted into industrial purpose.
- Water will be drawn from River Brahmani which will lead towards water scarcity and also will cause further pollution of river water.
- The impact assessments (for fugitive dust, air pollution, water pollution, solid waste like ash) seem to be not correctly assessed and have not considered the cumulative impact due to other

4. No comment was given by him. However, as told in the begging they would not mind for any suggestions from the villagers.

5. The REIA which was made on the basis of the data collected for the winter (Dec-2007-Feb-2008) is represented. Accordingly, the GLCs are given.

The impact as studied will be very marginal. The villagers of Kurunti and Khargaprasad will not be affected. The ash will be disposed off in improved way.

No comment was made on inadequacy of land availability, about the conversion of agricultural land for industrial use, river water pollution.

There will be no rehabilitation. The industry will provide compensation/payment to the land looser as per he Govt. policy.

The suggestions made by the villagers are also accepted.

<p>industrializations in the 10 kms radius.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• No resettlement / rehabilitation plans for the villagers of Kurunti and Khargaprasad are given who are in close proximity to the site. <p>So, the industry may be advised for re-submission of REIA report.</p>	<p>The Collector, Dhenkanal while concluding the meeting gave assurances to the people that action will be definitely initiated to give justice. It will not be tolerated if the establishment of the thermal power plant will damage the environment. The complaints/suggestions given by the public should be given importance and decision will be taken accordingly. The public should not be panic.</p>
---	--

କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାବଳୀ ସାରାଂଶ

ତା.୭-୧୧-୦୮ ରିଖ ପୂର୍ବାହ୍ନ ୯-୧୧-୦୦ ମିନିଟ୍ ସମୟରେ ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଖଡ଼ଗପ୍ରସାଦର ପଞ୍ଚାୟତ ଗୃହରେ “ମେସର୍ସ ଲାନ୍କୋ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଲିମିଟେଡ” ଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ୨୬୪୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ ବିଶିଷ୍ଟ ବାବନ୍ଧ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନ ପାଇଁ ଏକ ଜନସାଧାରଣ ସହମତି ସଭା ଅନୁଷ୍ଠିତ ହୋଇ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ କର୍ମକର୍ତ୍ତାଙ୍କ ବିବରଣୀ ଓ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଜନିତ ସମସ୍ୟା ଉପରେ ଜନତାଙ୍କ ନିମ୍ନ ମତବ୍ୟ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନା କରାଯାଇଥିଲା ।

ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କର ଉତ୍ତ୍ୟାପିତ ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ	ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ନିର୍ମାଣକାରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱଞ୍ଜାକରଣ
<p>ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଉତ୍ତ୍ୟାପିତ ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ ଏବଂ ଜନପରାମର୍ଶ ସମୟରେ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଲିଖିତ ଆବେଦନ ଗୁଡ଼ିକ ସମାନ ପ୍ରକାର । ସଂପୃକ୍ତ ଗ୍ରାମ ଗୁଡ଼ିକର ସମସ୍ତ ବନ୍ଧା ମାନଙ୍କ ବନ୍ଧବ୍ୟ ସମାନ ପ୍ରକାର । ମୁଖ୍ୟ ସମସ୍ୟା ଗୁଡ଼ିକ ନିମ୍ନମତେ ଦିଆଗଲା:-</p> <p>(୧) ସ୍ଥାନ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ସ-କ୍ଷେତ୍ର : ପ୍ରଥମେ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପଟି ବାବନ୍ଧ (ହିୟୋଲରୋଡ଼ ନିକଟରେ, ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ) ଠାରେ ସ୍ଥାପନା ନିମିତ୍ତ ଯୋଜନା ଥିଲା । କିନ୍ତୁ ପ୍ରକୃତରେ ଏହା କୁରୁକ୍ଷି ଓ ଖଡ଼ଗପ୍ରସାଦ ଗ୍ରାମଠାରେ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ହୋଇଛି । ଯାହାକି ତର୍ଜମା କରାଯିବାର ଅଛି ।</p> <p>(୨) ଉଚ୍ଚ କ୍ଷମତା ବିଶିଷ୍ଟ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପାଇଁ ଜନପରାମର୍ଶ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପଟି ୧୩୨୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ଶିଳ୍ପସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର କରାଯାଇଛି । କିନ୍ତୁ, ୨୬୪୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ଶିଳ୍ପସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ଜନପରାମର୍ଶ ଆୟୋଜନ କରାଯାଇଥିଲା ଯାହାକୁ କି ସମସ୍ତ ଗ୍ରାମବାସୀ ମାନେ ବିରୋଧ କରିଥିଲେ । ଏଣୁ ୧୩୨୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ଶିଳ୍ପସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ପୁନଶ୍ଚ ଜନପରାମର୍ଶ ଆୟୋଜନ କରାଯିବାପାଇଁ ଦାବି ହୋଇଥିଲା । ଯଦି ୨୬୪୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ଶିଳ୍ପସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ଅନୁମତି ଦିଆଯାଏ ଏହାର ଘୋର ବିରୋଧ କରାଯିବ ।</p>	<p>କ-।ନୀର ପ୍ରତିନିଧି ସଭାସମାପ୍ତିରେ ପ୍ରସ୍ତାବିତ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଓ ଜନସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ମତାମତ ସଂପର୍କରେ କ-।ନୀର ନିମ୍ନଗତସୂଚିଧା ସହଯୋଗ ବିଷୟରେ କହିଥିଲେ ।</p> <p>୧) ସ୍ଥାନ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ସଂପର୍କରେ କୌଣସି ସୂଚନା ନ ଦିଆଯାଇ କ-।ନୀ ପ୍ରତିନିଧୀ କହିଲେ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପଟି ୪ x ୬୬୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ୍ ସୁପର କ୍ରିଟିକାଲ୍ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ ଜିଲ୍ଲାରେ ସ୍ଥାପନା କରାଯିବ ।</p> <p>(୨) କ-।ନୀ ତରଫରୁ ରାଜ୍ୟ ସରକାରଙ୍କ ସହିତ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷରିତ ହୋଇଥିବା ରୁଝାମଣା ସ-କ୍ଷେତ୍ର ମଧ୍ୟ କୌଣସି ସୂଚନା ଦିଆନଯାଇ ୨୬୪୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ମତବ୍ୟକ୍ତ କରିଥିଲେ ।</p>

(୩) ଅନୁପଯୁକ୍ତ ସ୍ଥାନ ନିରୂପଣ ସଂପର୍କରେ :

❖ ସ୍ଥାନଟି ଶିଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ ନୁହଁ କାରଣ ଏହାର ଏକ ପାର୍ଶ୍ୱରେ ରେଳ ଲାଇନ୍ ଏବଂ ଅନ୍ୟ ପାର୍ଶ୍ୱରେ ବ୍ରାହ୍ମଣୀ ନଦୀ ଅବସ୍ଥିତ । ଯାହାକି ୫୦ମି. ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଅବସ୍ଥିତ ।

❖ କୁରୁକ୍ଷି ଏବଂ ଖଡ଼ଗ ପ୍ରସାଦ ଗ୍ରାମ, (ବୃହତ ଗ୍ରାମ) ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ଠାରୁ ମାତ୍ର ୫୦-୧୦୦ ମିଟର ଦୂରରେ ଅବସ୍ଥିତ ।

❖ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପାଇଁ ଅବଶ୍ୟକ ପଡ଼ୁଥିବା ଜାଗାର ପରିମାଣ ପ୍ରକୃତରେ କିଛିଟା କମ୍ ପରିମାଣର ଜାଗା ମିଳୁଅଛି । ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପାଇଁ ଯେତିକି ଯାଗାର ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ତାହାର ଆକଳନ କରାଯାଉ ।

❖ ଯେହେତୁ ଜନସାଧାରଣ ଏକ ପ୍ରଦୂଷିତ ପରିବେଶ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ବସତି ସ୍ଥାପନ କରିଛନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ୦-୫-୪କି.ମି. ବ୍ୟାସାର୍ଦ୍ଧ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ମେସର୍ସ ଭୂଷଣ ଝିଲ୍ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ (ଇକ୍ସିଗ୍ରେଟେଡ୍ ଝିଲ୍ ଓ ପାଣ୍ଡୁର) ମେସର୍ସ ବି.ଆର.ଜି. ଆଇରନ୍ ଓ ଝିଲ୍ ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ (ସ୍ତମ୍ଭ ଆଇରନ୍ ଏବଂ ଝିଲ୍) ମେସର୍ସ ନବ ଭାରତ ଭେଷ୍ଟର ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ (ଫେରୋଅଲ୍ୟୁମିନିୟମ ଓ ପାଣ୍ଡୁର) ମେସର୍ସ ହିନ୍ ମେଟାଲ ପ୍ରା. ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ (ଫେରୋଅଲ୍ୟୁମିନିୟମ) ଅବସ୍ଥିତ । ଅତ୍ୟଧିକ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ଭାର ଯୋଗୁଁ ଏଠାରେ ଜୀବନ ଅତିବାହିତ କରିବା କଷ୍ଟ ସାଧ୍ୟ ହୋଇ ପଡ଼ୁଛି । ଏତଦ୍ ବ୍ୟତୀତ ଦୁଇଟି ମେଗା ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ (ଜି.ଏମ୍. ଆର୍. ଏନର୍ଜି ଲିମିଟେଡ୍ ଓ ମହାଲକ୍ଷ୍ମୀ ମେଗା ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ) ପାଇଁ ଅନୁମତି ପ୍ରଦାନ କରାଯାଇଛି ।

ତେଣୁ ଲୋକମାନେ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପର ସ୍ଥାନ ପାଇଁ ଦୃଢ଼ ଭାବେ ବିରୋଧ କରିଛନ୍ତି ।

୪) ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ଭାର ପ୍ରସଙ୍ଗ ଉପରେ ତର୍କମା :

ଏହି ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ବହୁତ ବୃହତ ଶିଳ୍ପାୟନର ଆଗମନ ହେତୁ ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନ ସମସ୍ତ ଗ୍ରାମର ଅଧିବାସୀମାନେ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣର ଶିକାର । ଏଣୁ ବର୍ତ୍ତମାନର ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ଭାର ଉପରେ ସଂପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ଅନୁଧ୍ୟାନ କରାଯାଇ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ (୧୩୨୦ ମେଗାଓର୍) ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯାଉ ।

(୩) ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ ସ୍ଥାନ ବୋଲି କ-୧ନୀ ଅନୁଭବ କରିଛି । ମୁଖ୍ୟ କାରଖାନା ସ୍ଥାପନା ପାଇଁ ୧୨୫୦ ଏକର, ପାଇଁ ଯୋଖରୀ ପାଇଁ ୭୨୫ ଏକର ଓ କୋଲନୀ ପାଇଁ ୪୦ ଏକର ଜାଗାର ଆବଶ୍ୟକତା ରହିଛି । ବ୍ରାହ୍ମଣୀନଦୀରୁ ୨୫ ଘନଫୁଟ ଜଳର ଆବଶ୍ୟକତା ମଧ୍ୟ ଅଛି ।

(୪) ଗ୍ରାମବାସୀ ମାନଙ୍କର କୌଣସି ସହମତି ନେଇନଥିଲେ ଏବଂ ଏହି ବିଷୟରେ କୌଣସି ମତାମତ ଦେଇନଥିଲେ ।

୫) ଆର. ଇ. ଆଇ. ଏ. ରିପୋର୍ଟରେ ଭୁଲ ତଥ୍ୟ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନା

ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧରେ :

- ❖ କ-୧ନୀ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଉପସ୍ଥାପନ କରାଯାଇଥିବା ଆର. ଇ. ଆଇ. ଏ. ରିପୋର୍ଟରେ ବାୟୁ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ସାନ୍ଦ୍ରତାର ପରିମାଣ ବହୁତ କମ୍ ଦିଆଯାଇଅଛି । ଏବଂ ୨୬୪୦ ମେଗାଓ୍ୱାଟ୍ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ପାଇଁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ପଡୁଥିବା ଜାଗା ମିଳୁଅଛି ବୋଲି ଭୁଲ ତଥ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଦାନ କରାଯାଇଅଛି ।
- ❖ ଆର. ଇ. ଆଇ. ଏ. ରେ ମୁଖ୍ୟ କୃଷିଭିତ୍ତିକ ଜମିକୁ କାରଖାନା ବସାଇବା ପାଇଁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ନିମିତ୍ତ ଆର. ଇ. ଆଇ. ଏ. ରେ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନା କରାଯାଇ ନାହିଁ ।
- ❖ ବ୍ରାହ୍ମଣୀ ନଦୀର ଯେଉଁ ଜଳ ବ୍ୟବହାର ହେବ ତାହା ଜଳ ଅଭାବ ଜନିତ ସମସ୍ୟା ସୃଷ୍ଟି କରିବ ଏବଂ ଏହା ପୁନଶ୍ଚ ନଦୀ ଜଳ ପ୍ରଦୂଷିତ ହେବାର କାରଣ ହେବ ।
- ❖ ଧୂଳିକଣା, ବାୟୁ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ, ଜଳ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ଓ ପାଉଁଶ ଭଳି କଠିନ ବର୍ଷ୍ୟବସ୍ତୁ ପ୍ରଭାବ ଆକଳନ ଠିକ୍ ଭାବରେ କରାଯାଇନାହିଁ । ୧୦ କି-ମି- ବ୍ୟାପାର୍ଣ୍ୟ ମଧ୍ୟସ୍ଥ ଶିଳ୍ପାଞ୍ଚଳରେ ଏହାର ମୋଟା ମୋଟି ପ୍ରଭାବ ଉପରେ କୌଣସି ଉଲ୍ଲେଖ ନାହିଁ ।
- ❖ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପଦ୍ୱାରା ସଂପୂର୍ଣ୍ଣ ରୂପେ ପ୍ରଭାବିତ କୁରୁକ୍ଷି ଓ ଖଡ଼ଗ୍ ପ୍ରସାଦ ଗ୍ରାମ ବାସୀଙ୍କ ପାଇଁ ପୁନଃ ଅବସ୍ଥାପିତ ନିମିତ୍ତ କୌଣସି ଯୋଜନା ଉଲ୍ଲେଖ ନାହିଁ ।

ଏଣୁ ପନଃ ଆର. ଇ. ଆଇ. ଏ. ରିପୋର୍ଟ ଦାଖଲ ନିମିତ୍ତ ଉପଦେଶ ଦିଆଯାଉଛି ।

(୫) ଆର.ଆଇରେ ଯେଉଁ ତଥ୍ୟ ଉପସ୍ଥାପନା କରାଯାଇଛି ତାହା ଶୀତକାଳିନ (ଡିସେମ୍ବର ୨୦୦୭-ଫେବୃୟାରୀ ୨୦୦୮) ର ତଥ୍ୟ ।

ପ୍ରଭାବ ସଂପର୍କିତ ତଥ୍ୟ ବହୁତ ନଗନ୍ୟ । କୁରୁକ୍ଷି ଏବଂ ଖଡ଼ଗ୍ ପ୍ରସାଦ ଗ୍ରାମବାସୀ ମାନେ କ୍ଷତିଗ୍ରସ୍ତ ହେଉନାହୁଁଛି । ପାଉଁଶକୁ ଅଧୁନିକ ଉପାୟରେ ବିନିଯୋଗ କରାଯିବ ।

କମ୍ ଜାଗା ମିଳୁଥିବା ଉପରେ, ଶିଳ୍ପ ପାଇଁ କୃଷି ଭିତ୍ତିକ ଜାଗାକୁ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧରେ ଏବଂ ନଦୀ ଜଳ ପ୍ରଦୂଷଣ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧରେ କୌଣସି ମତାମତ ଦେଇନଥିଲେ ।

ପୁନଃ ବସତି ସ୍ଥାପନା ନିମିତ୍ତ କୌଣସି ଯୋଜନା ନାହିଁ । ସରକାରଙ୍କ ନିୟମାନୁଯାୟୀ ଜାଗା ହରାଇଥିବା ବ୍ୟକ୍ତି ମାନଙ୍କୁ କ-୧ନୀ କର୍ତ୍ତୃପକ୍ଷ କ୍ଷତିପୂରଣ ଦେବେ । ଗ୍ରାମବାସୀ ମାନଙ୍କ ମତାମତ ଗ୍ରହଣ କରାଯିବ ।

ସଭାର ପରିସମାପ୍ତିରେ ଢେଙ୍କାନାଳ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ମହୋଦୟା ଲୋକମାନଙ୍କୁ ପ୍ରତିଶ୍ରୁତି ଦେଇ କହିଲେ ନ୍ୟାୟ ପାଇଁ ଉପଯୁକ୍ତ ପଦକ୍ଷେପ ନିଆଯିବ ଓ ତାପଜ ବିଦ୍ୟୁତ୍ ପ୍ରକଳ୍ପ ସ୍ଥାପନା ଦ୍ୱାରା ପରିବେଶର କ୍ଷତି ଗ୍ରସ୍ତ ହେବା ବରଦାୟ କରାଯାଇ ପାରିବ ନାହିଁ । ଜନ ସାଧାରଣଙ୍କ ଦ୍ୱାରା ଅଭିଯୋଗ /ମତାମତକୁ ପ୍ରଧାନ୍ୟ ଦିଆଯାଇ ସେହି ଅନୁସାରେ ନିଷ୍ପତ୍ତି ନିଆଯିବ । ତେଣୁ ଜନସାଧାରଣ ଏଥିପାଇଁ ବିଚଳିତ ହେବା ଅନାବଶ୍ୟକ ।